



APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/834,013	04/12/2001	Brad D. Besmer	00-437	5263
24319	7590 04/19/2004	,	EXAMINER	
LSI LOGIC CORPORATION 1621 BARBER LANE			CLEARY, THOMAS J	
MS: D-106			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MILPITAS,			2111	M
			DATE MAILED: 04/19/2004	.

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

*····	Application No.	Applicant(s)	4			
Advisory Action	09/834,013	BESMER ET AL.				
Advisory Addon	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Thomas J. Cleary	2111				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 05 April 2004 FAILS TO PLACE TO Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appel Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this application and avoid abandonment of the samendment whith a timely filed amendment whith a timely filed amendment whith a timely filed amendment whith a timely are same as a second and a second a second and a second a second and a second a second a second and a second a second a second and a second a se	cation. A proper re ich places the appli	ply to a cation in			
PERIOD FOR R	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Acevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dhave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortene (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the han SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE ate on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 insign and the corresponding amount of the distatutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. \$ 136(a) and the appropriate ex the final Office action; or	See MPEP e extension fee tension fee under (2) as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	FR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered l	pecause:					
(a) $oxed{\boxtimes}$ they raise new issues that would require furt	ner consideration and/or search ((see NOTE below);				
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or	simplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje	ction(s)::					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely file	d amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: S		sidered but does No	OT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims v			and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	i:					
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-26</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) ap	proved or b)□ disapproved by	the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statem	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).					
10. Other:	SUFER STATE OF THE	WARK H. RINGHAM	- -			

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 09/834,013

entered. Arguments presented have not been considered as they are directed to amended claims which have not been entered.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Amended claims raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search and thus will not be

MARK H. RINEHART SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER YECKNOLOGY CENTER 2100